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Against War is a collection of excerpts from the writings of Pope Francis on war and peace. The occasion for the book is the war begun by the Russian invasion of Ukraine on February 24, 2022.


I will summarize what the book says about war and peace in general before turning specifically to what Francis says about the Ukraine War in this book and in subsequent statements.

It is clear that for Francis the enemy is not Russia or any other combatant. It is war. Francis’s emphasis is always on the effects of war, not the state of mind or character of those who declare wars or fight in them. The second line of text speaks of “the disaster caused by war” (p. 1). Francis goes on to speak of the death and wounding of innocent people, especially children, the destruction of homes and displacement of people, the state of fear in which people live, and the transfer of resources from human needs to weaponry. All of this is consistent with recent popes’ increased opposition to modern warfare due to its destructive capacity. Two themes that are characteristically, though not uniquely, Francis’s are his consistent “option for the poor” and his attention to the environmental costs of war. He urges us to “give first place to those who suffer” (p. 83), to look through the eyes of victims “and listen with an open heart to the stories they tell” (p. 31). He reminds us, “War always does grave harm to the environment” (p. 28), and he calls on us to develop a peaceful relationship to our common home and all who dwell in it (pp. 106–107).

Nuclear weapons, if used, greatly amplify the death and destruction caused by war. The sheer building and possession of them is a huge waste of resources and increases the danger of their deliberate or accidental detonation. “The use of atomic energy for purposes of war is immoral, just as the possessing of nuclear weapons is immoral” (p. 51). Nuclear weapons should be made illegal as well (p. 43). Nuclear deterrence generates a climate of fear and a false sense of security. “Peace and international stability are incompatible with attempts to build upon the fear of mutual destruction or the threat of total annihilation” (pp. 45–46).
What is the alternative to war? Francis would reject that way of formulating the question. For him, there is today “no real alternative to peacemaking” (p. 85). Peacemaking calls for dialogue and encounter, two words that recur frequently in these texts. It calls for “immersing ourselves in situations” (p. 83). In the “culture of fraternal encounter” we must set aside fear and allow ourselves to be vulnerable (p. 98). “Fraternity” is another word that appears very often in this book. It is an awkward term in English, with its etymological gender-exclusiveness (though in American English “fraternity and sorority” would be worse). The Pope’s intention in speaking of fraternity, however, is inclusive, to emphasize that we are all brothers and sisters “as children of the one heavenly Father” (p. 98). He links fraternity to Jesus’s call to love one another, which includes those we might otherwise regard as enemies (pp. 113, 90). Love of enemies implies the rejection of violence in resolving differences: “To be true followers of Jesus today also includes embracing his teaching about nonviolence” (p. 91).

Peace is not a “possession” one can hold on to; rather, it “puts you in motion” (pp. 86–87). It is an “artisanal path” (p. 95), one which everyone can “build … day by day through small gestures and acts” (p. 93). It requires “craftmanship” to build “processes of encounter” (pp. 99–100). Francis concludes, “May the Lord help us to journey together on the path of fraternity and thus to become credible witnesses of the living God” (p. 115).

On the second page of the book, Francis turns to the Ukraine War. He deplores the death and destruction the war has caused (pp. 2, 7) and also the increased arms race it has sparked (p. 9). He worries that it might escalate to nuclear war (p. 4). He calls for “the good sense to negotiate” (p. 17).

His first words about the war are “Ukraine was attacked and invaded” (p. 2). The Ukrainians are being “martyred” (p. 8); they are victims of “violent aggression” (p. 12), who are “defending their land” (p. 14). But at no point does he name Russia as the perpetrator. Tim Parks (2022) has likened Francis’s unwillingness to condemn Russia by name to Pius XII’s refusal to condemn Hitler and Mussolini forthrightly. But while Pius’s reticence seems to have been grounded in a desire to protect the interests of the Church, Francis’s is motivated by a desire to end the war. Francis has continued in this stance to the present, with one exception. In his interview with the editors of America magazine on November 22, 2022, he states, “Sometimes I try not to specify so as not to offend and rather condemn in general, although it is well known whom I am condemning.” In a self-refuting question he proceeds, “Why do I not name Putin? Because it is not necessary” (Francis, 2023, p. 23). Francis makes these remarks in the context of a discussion of Vatican diplomacy, including his own personal efforts, in the war. The results of Francis’s comments, especially an offhand remark about the cruelty of Chechens and Buryats fighting for Russia, were anger in Russia, a Vatican apology, and dampened hopes for Vatican mediation in the conflict (J. Allen, 2022; E. Allen, 2022).

On March 18, 2022, Francis declared, “There is no such thing as a just war; they do not exist!” (p. 16). He reiterates this judgment in a book excerpt published on October 18, 2022: “The events of the first two decades of this century compel me to add, unambiguously, that there is no occasion in which a war can be considered just. There is never a place for the barbarism of war, especially
not when contention acquires one of its most unjust faces: that of so-called ‘preventive wars’” (Watkins, n.d.).

The October 18 statement suggests Francis has in mind primarily the initiation of a war. Does Francis think that the Ukrainians should stop fighting and continue to resist nonviolently?² He might well be supportive if they were to make such a choice, but he has not urged it on them. Might Francis approve of Ukraine continuing to defend itself in a war it did not start? On his flight home from Kazakhstan in September 2022, he indicated so. The purchase of defensive weapons could be morally licit, he said; “The motivation is what in a great part qualifies the morality of that act. To defend oneself is not only licit, it’s also an expression of love toward one’s homeland; whoever doesn’t defend something, doesn’t love it. Instead, those who defend, love” (Arocho Esteves, 2022).

Francis would certainly resist escalation of the war. But his concern for the effects of the war might make him sensitive to the global consequences of a unilateral decision to stop fighting, including whether it would encourage further aggression. What is clear is that he will continue to promote efforts toward a negotiated settlement, however discouraging that prospect may seem to be.

Endnotes

¹ A mistranslation in the Introduction makes Francis appear to contradict what he says elsewhere about deterrence. The English says, “There is need for dialogue, negotiation, listening, diplomatic skills and creativity, and farsighted politics capable of building a new system of coexistence that is no longer based on the power of weapons, but on deterrence” (p. 3). In the original Italian, the sentence ends, “che non sia più basato sulle armi, sulla potenza delle armi, sulla deterrenza,” which should be rendered, “that is no longer based on weapons or the power of weapons or on deterrence” (emphasis added).

² Daza (2022) demonstrates that actions of protest, non-cooperation, and nonviolent intervention have had some success in mitigating the war.
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